UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

STEPHANIE M. GRAHAM,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 10-C-431

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

Defendant.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

On May 19, 2010, Stephanie M. Graham ("Graham"), proceeding with the assistance of counsel, filed a complaint challenging a decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration. (Docket No. 1.) Accompanying her complaint is a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. (Docket No. 2.)

Before the court can allow the plaintiff to proceed in forma pauperis, the court is obligated to determine that Graham is unable to pay the \$350.00 filing fee and that this case (1) is not frivolous or malicious, (2) does not fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, and (3) does not seek monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

A claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact. <u>Denton v. Hernandez</u>, 504 U.S. 25, 31 (1992); <u>Neitzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless. <u>Neitzke</u>, 490 U.S. at 327. A complaint should be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted if it appears beyond doubt

that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts that would entitle him to relief. Hishon v. King &

Spalding, 467 U.S. 69, 73 (1984) (citing Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957)). In

reviewing a complaint under this standard, the court must accept as true the allegations of the

complaint in question, Hospital Bldg. Co. v. Rex Hospital Trustees, 425 U.S. 738, 740 (1976),

construe the pleading in the light most favorable to the plaintiff and resolve all doubts in the

plaintiff's favor. Jenkins v. McKeithen, 395 U.S. 411, 421 (1969).

Having reviewed the financial information submitted in support of her motion to proceed in

forma pauperis, the court is satisfied that the plaintiff lacks the financial resources to pay the filing

fee. Therefore, the court shall turn to the claims raised in the complaint.

In her complaint, Graham challenges the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security

denying her application for Social Security Disability and Supplemental Security Income benefits.

Having reviewed Graham's complaint, the court is unable to say that Graham's complaint is

frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary

relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. Accordingly, Graham's motion to

proceed in forma pauperis shall be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis,

(Docket No. 2), is granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff shall return the Consent/Refusal to Proceed

before U.S. Magistrate Judge form within 7 days of the date of this order.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin this <u>15th</u> day of June 2010.

s/AARON E. GOODSTEIN

U.S. Magistrate Judge